

Interview Summary

Application No. 09/042,056

Applicant(s)

Darling et al

Examiner

Cynthia L. Nessler

Group Art Unit 1761



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Cynthia L. Nessler	(3) Maaike Van Velzen
(2) James J. Farrell	(4)
Date of Interview	
Type: X Telephonic Personal (copy is given to	applicant applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:	
Agreement was reached. was not reached.	
Claim(s) discussed: 5 and 6	
Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art of record.	
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: More data is needed in affidavit form to show unexpected results.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	
1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	
each of the objections, rejections and requireme claims are now allowable, this completed form i	(including any attachments) reflects a complete response to ents that may be present in the last Office action, and since the is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last oviding a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is a	n attachment to a signed Office action.